



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,322	01/15/2002	Ming Huan Tsai	67,200-613	3498
7590	12/17/2003		EXAMINER	
TUNG & ASSOCIATES 838 W. Long Lake Road, Suite 120 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302				BARRECA, NICOLE M
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1756

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/050,322	TSAI ET AL.
Examiner	Nicole M. Barreca	Art Unit
		1756

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 September 2003.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1,3,5-13 and 21-32 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,3,5-13 and 21-32 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ . 6) Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 3, 5-13, 20-31 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 25 recites exposing the second resist layer to light having a wavelength less than or equal to 193 nm. The applicant does not have support in the original claims or specification for the open ended range comprising all wavelengths of light 193 nm or less. See MPEP 2163.05. The examiner has failed to find support in the specification for any wavelength of light other than 193 nm and 157 nm.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1, 3, 5-13, 20, 21, 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ohuchi (US 6576562).

6. Ohuchi discloses a method for making a semiconductor device using a resist mask pattern. Interlayer insulating film 202 is formed on a silicon substrate 201, followed by barrier film 203, first metal wiring layer 204, barrier film 205 and interlayer insulating layer 206. Organic base film 207 (first resist layer), organic silicon oxide film 208 and photoresist 209 (second resist layer) are then formed. Organic base layer 207 is formed for example of polyarylene, phenol novolac or aromatic polycyclic resin (col.23, 22-col.24, 24). Instead of using the multilayered resist system it is permissible to form a mask pattern using a two layers. If two layers are used, the upper photoresist layer will contain an inorganic component such as silicon. The upper photoresist containing the inorganic component such as silicon is exposed to light through a mask and developed using a development solution (wet developing). The pattern in the upper resist layer is transferred to the lower organic base film using a dry etching process such as RIE using a gas mixture of oxygen (O₂) and nitrogen (N₂) (col.29, 13-col.30, 8). The upper photoresist layer is removed (ashed) during the etching of the lower resist layer, followed by etching of the underlying layers to transfer the pattern and form the via hole (col.26, 33-56). Examples of suitable exposure wavelength of the method include 193 nm and 157 nm (col.14, 42-54). The lower organic base film 207 is formed at a thickness of 500 nm or 5000 angstroms (col.24, 8-10), while the upper photoresist is formed at a thickness of 300 nm or 3000 angstroms (col.25, 40-43).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohuchi as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Smith (US 6,388,226).

9. Ohuchi does not disclose having argon in the plasma mixture. Smith teaches that adding a noble gas such as argon to a mixture of O₂ and N₂ will allow the reactive species to be more efficiently transported and therefore will increase the photoresist removal rate (col.15, 29-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add argon to the N₂/O₂ plasma mixture in the method of Ohuchi because Smith teaches that adding a noble gas such as argon to a mixture of O₂ and N₂ will allow the reactive species to be more efficiently transported and therefore will increase the photoresist removal rate.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments, see p.12-13, filed 9/25/03, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-5, 7-10, 13-15 and 17-20 under 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art reference Ohuchi.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicole M. Barreca whose telephone number is 703-308-7968. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00 am-6:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 703-308-2464. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

12/9/03



**NICOLE BARRECA
PATENT EXAMINER**